

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/736,795 12/14/2000		12/14/2000	Michael B. Ball	3817.1US (97-1350.1) 6757	
24247	7590	05/23/2003			
TRASK B			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			PERT, EVAN T		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2829	
				DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/736,795	BALL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Evan T. Pert	2829					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. I. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tte, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed sys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	3 January 2003 .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-22,24,25 and 28-40</u> is/are pe	nding in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-22,24,25 and 28-40</u> are subject	ct to restriction and/or election rea	uiromont					
Application Papers	ct to restriction and/or election req	unement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		ıminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, , , , ,					
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ion No.					
Copies of the certified copies of the prication from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ority documents have been receive ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage					
	•						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. The restriction requirement(s) of record based on the term "solder mask" (set forth in paper nos. 5 and 9) are withdrawn in view of applicant's clarification of the intended meaning of "solder mask" in paper no. 12.
- 2. The examiner now recognizes that this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Generic Invention = Method of forming (i.e. encompassing "disposing" and/or "exposing") a conductive structure (a.k.a. conductive element) using a "polymeric."

Species I = Generic Invention characterized in that the polymeric is removed [e.g. described at lines 1-7 of [0015].

Species II = Generic Invention characterized in that the polymeric is reduced in thickness such that the polymeric is partly retained instead of being completely removed [e.g. described at lines 7-13 of [0015].

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/736,795

Art Unit: 2829

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/736,795

Art Unit: 2829

5.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 4

examiner should be directed to Evan T. Pert whose telephone number is 703-306-5689.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 703-308-1233. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722

for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

ETP

May 21, 2003